EXHIBIT 11

Excerpts from Voris's Depositions

In the Matter of:

Very Reverend Georges F. de Laire, J.C.L. vs Gary Michael Voris, et al.

> Gary Michael Voris March 02, 2022

68 Commercial Wharf • Boston, MA 02110 888.825.3376 - 617.399.0130 Global Coverage court-reporting.com



```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
     2
                  CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:21-cv-00131-JD
     3
          ----X
4
        VERY REVEREND GEORGES F. de
     5
        LAIRE, J.C.L.,
                      Plaintiff,
     6
     7
           ٧.
        GARY MICHAEL VORIS, ANITA CAREY,
        ST. MICHAEL'S MEDIA a/k/a CHURCH
     9
        MILITANT,
    10
                      Defendants.
        -----x
    11
    12
    13
    14
         VIDEOTAPED 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION OF ST. MICHAEL'S MEDIA
    15
            A/K/A CHURCH MILITANT BY GARY MICHAEL VORIS,
    16
                AND GARY MICHAEL VORIS, INDIVIDUALLY
    17
                        Conducted Remotely
    18
                         2900 Hilton Road
    19
                        Ferndale, Michigan
    20
                            March 2, 2022
    21
                       9:27 a.m. to 3:49 p.m.
    22
    23
    24
        Reporter: Laurie J. Berg, CCR, RPR, CRR, CLR, CER
```

	,	Tionaci vons, et al.	-,
			2
	1	APPEARANCES	
	2		
	3	Howard M. Cooper, Esquire	
	4	TODD & WELD LLP One Federal Street	
	5	27th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110	
	6	617.720.2626 hcooper@toddweld.com	
7		(Present via videoconference.)	
8		-and-	
-	9	Suzanne M. Elovecky, Esquire PARTRIDGE SNOW & HAHN, LLP 30 Federal Street	
	10	Boston, Massachusetts 02110 617.292.7900	
	11	selovecky@psh.com (Present via videoconference.)	
	12		
13		COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF	
	14	Kathleen H. Klaus, Esquire MADDIN, HAUSER, ROTH & HELLER, P.C. 28400 Northwestern Highway	
	15	2nd Floor Southfield, Michigan 48034-1839	
	16	248.354.4030	
	17	kklaus@maddinhauser.com (Present via videoconference.)	
10	18	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS	
19		Also Present:	
20		Father Georges F. de Laire	
	21	(Present via videoconference.)	
	22	Christine Niles, Esquire (Present via videoconference.)	
	23	·	
	24	David Hanrahan, Legal Video Specialist (Present via videoconference.)	

				3
1		INDEX		
2				
3	DEPONENT:	GARY MICHAEL VORIS		
4		(Present via videoconference.)		
5				
6	EXAMINATION	N	PAGE	
7	(BY ATTORNI	EY COOPER)	7	
8				
9		EXHIBITS		
10	NO.		PAGE	
11	Exhibit 1	NH Vicar Change Dogma Into Heresy,		
12		January 17, 2019, CM0042 to CM0184	74	
13	Exhibit 2	Diocese of Manchester, Episcopal Vicar		
14		for Canonical Affairs, Let It Be Known,		
15		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE		
16		ORDER, RCBM-000555 to RCBM-000556	210	
17	Exhibit 3	NH-Based 'only Catholics go to heaven'		
18		group sanction by Church; aspiring nun		
19		allegedly held against her will,		
20		January 8, 2019 (four pages)	213	
21	Exhibit 4	Handwritten Document, 2018, SBC - SPLC		
22		(one page)	214	
23	Exhibit 5	Attacking The Good Guys Who Are Fightin	g	
24		Back, April 15, 2019, CM0081 to CM0084		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

				1
1				4
1		EXHIBITS		
2		(continued)		
3	NO.		PAGE	
4				
5		(Original exhibits marked electronically		
6		and retained with the transcript.)		
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

In the Matter of:

Very Reverend Georges F. de Laire, J.C.L. vs Gary Michael Voris, et al.

> Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

68 Commercial Wharf • Boston, MA 02110 888.825.3376 - 617.399.0130 Global Coverage Magnals.com



```
1
               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
 2
             CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:21-cv-00131-JL
 3
     ----X
 4
   VERY REVEREND GEORGES F. de
 5
   LAIRE, J.C.L.,
                  Plaintiff,
 6
 7
      ٧.
 8
   GARY MICHAEL VORIS, ANITA CAREY,
   ST. MICHAEL'S MEDIA a/k/a CHURCH
9
   MILITANT,
                  Defendants.
10
   ----x
11
12
13
    VIDEOTAPED 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION OF ST. MICHAEL'S MEDIA
14
       A/K/A CHURCH MILITANT BY GARY MICHAEL VORIS,
15
16
       AND GARY MICHAEL VORIS, INDIVIDUALLY, DAY 2
17
                    Conducted Remotely
                     2900 Hilton Road
18
19
                    Ferndale, Michigan
20
                      February 8, 2023
21
                  10:06 a.m. to 6:09 p.m.
22
23
24
   Reporter: Laurie J. Berg, CCR, RPR, CRR, CLR, CER
```

		278
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	Suzanne M. Elovecky, Esquire	
4	PARTRIDGE SNOW & HAHN, LLP 30 Federal Street	
5	Boston, Massachusetts 02110 617.292.7900	
6	selovecky@psh.com (Present via videoconference.)	
7	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF	
8	Kathleen H. Klaus, Esquire MADDIN, HAUSER, ROTH & HELLER, P.C.	
9	28400 Northwestern Highway 2nd Floor	
10	Southfield, Michigan 48034-1839 248.354.4030	
11	kklaus@maddinhauser.com (Present via videoconference.)	
12	-and-	
13		
14	Neil B. Nicholson, Esquire NICHOLSON LAW FIRM, PLLC 58 North State Street	
15	Post Office Box 41371 48034 Concord, New Hampshire 03302-4137	
16	603.932.7872 neil@nicholson-lawfirm.com	
17	(Present via videoconference.)	
18	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS	
19	Also Present:	
20	Father Georges F. de Laire (Present via videoconference.)	
21	Christine Niles, Esquire	
22	(Present via videoconference.)	
23	Luc-Bernard Val, Legal Video Specialist (Present via videoconference.)	
24	(Treselle via viaeocomierence.)	

			279
1		INDEX	
2			
3	DEPONENT:	GARY MICHAEL VORIS	
4		(Present via videoconference.)	
5			
6	EXAMINATION		PAGE
7	(BY ATTORNE	Y ELOVECKY)	283
8			
9		EXHIBITS	
10	NO.		PAGE
11	Exhibit 6	E-mail Chain, Tuesday, April 14, 2020	
12		9:43 AM, CONFIDENTIAL, CM 0955 to	
13		CM 0957	438
14	Exhibit 7	E-mail Chain, Monday, April 20, 2020	
15		4:07 AM, CONFIDENTIAL, CM 0922 to	
16		CM 0930	442
17	Exhibit 8	E-mail Chain, Monday, April 23, 2018	
18		11:42 AM, CONFIDENTIAL, CM 1284 to	
19		CM 1290	454
20	Exhibit 9	2023 Response to Request for Production	on
21		of Articles, CM 0188 to CM 0691	471
22	Exhibit 10	Canonical Aid Web Page, About Us	
23		(one page)	491
24			

	•		
			280
1		EXHIBITS	
2		(continued)	
3	NO.		PAGE
4	Exhibit 11	E-mail, Wednesday, January 15, 2020	
5		1:56 PM and Attachment, CONFIDENTIAL,	
6		CM 1155 to CM 1160	544
7	Exhibit 12	Text Message Chain, 12:45,	
8		CONFIDENTIAL, CM 1334 to CM1335	552
9	Exhibit 13	St. Michael's Media a/k/a Church	
10		Militant Answers to Plaintiff's Secon	d
11		Set of Interrogatories (four pages)	560
12	Exhibit 14	E-mail Chain, Thursday, September 9,	
13		2021 7:36 PM, CONFIDENTIAL, CM 0786	569
14			
15	(Orig	inal exhibits marked electronically	
16	and	retained with the transcript.)	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

In the Matter of:

Very Reverend Georges F. de Laire, J.C.L. vs Gary Michael Voris, et al.

Gary Michael Voris Vol III

August 08, 2023

68 Commercial Wharf • Boston, MA 02110 888.825.3376 - 617.399.0130 Global Coverage Magnals.com



```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
     2
                 CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:21-cv-00131-JD
     3
         ----X
4
        VERY REVEREND GEORGES F. de
     5
        LAIRE, J.C.L.,
                      Plaintiff,
     6
     7
           ٧.
     8
        GARY MICHAEL VORIS, ANITA CAREY,
        ST. MICHAEL'S MEDIÁ a/k/a CHURCH
     9
        MILITANT,
    10
                      Defendants.
        ----x
    11
    12
    13
    14
    15
         VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GARY MICHAEL VORIS, DAY 3
    16
                        Conducted Remotely
                         2900 Hilton Road
    17
    18
                        Ferndale, Michigan
    19
                           August 8, 2023
    20
                      9:02 a.m. to 12:48 p.m.
    21
    22
    23
    24
        Reporter: Laurie J. Berg, CCR, RPR, CRR, CLR, CER
```

	Sury minerials		5. 00, 2025
			596
	1	APPEARANCES	
	2		
	3	Howard M. Cooper, Esquire	
	4	TODD & WELD LLP One Federal Street	
	5	27th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110	
	6	617.720.2626 hcooper@toddweld.com	
7		(Present via videoconference.)	
8		-and-	
O	9	Suzanne M. Elovecky, Esquire PARTRIDGE SNOW & HAHN, LLP 30 Federal Street	
	10	Boston, Massachusetts 02110 617.292.7900	
	11	selovecky@psh.com (Present via videoconference.)	
	12		
13		COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF	
	14	Kathleen H. Klaus, Esquire MADDIN, HAUSER, ROTH & HELLER, P.C.	
	15	28400 Northwestern Highway 2nd Floor	
	16	Southfield, Michigan 48034-1839 248.354.4030	
	17	kklaus@maddinhauser.com (Present via videoconference.)	
	18		
	19	-and-	
	20	Neil B. Nicholson, Esquire NICHOLSON LAW FIRM, PLLC 58 North State Street	
	21	Post Office Box 41371 48034	
	22	Concord, New Hampshire 03302-4137 603.932.7872 neil@nicholson-lawfirm.com	
	23	(Present via videoconference.)	
	24	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS	

•	
1	597 APPEARANCES
2	(continued)
3	
4	Also Present:
5	Father Georges F. de Laire (Present via videoconference.)
6	Christine Niles, Esquire
7	(Present via videoconference.)
8	Mira Donahue, Summer Intern, Todd & Weld LLP
9	(Present via videoconference.)
10	Tyler Crotty, Legal Video Specialist (Present via videoconference.)

	•		
			598
1		INDEX	
2			
3	DEPONENT:	GARY MICHAEL VORIS	
4		(Present via videoconference.)	
5			
6	EXAMINATION		PAGE
7	(BY ATTORNE	Y COOPER)	603
8	(BY ATTORNE	Y KLAUS)	793
9			
10		EXHIBITS	
11	NO.		PAGE
12	Exhibit 15	Correspondence, July 20, 2023	
13		(one page)	610
14	Exhibit 16	Correspondence, December 26, 2019	
15		(six pages)	612
16	Exhibit 17	E-mail, Wednesday, January 15, 2020	
17		1:56 PM and Attachment, CONFIDENTIAL,	
18		CM 1155 to CM 1160	621
19	Exhibit 18	Correspondence, January 16, 2020	
20		(five pages)	625
21	Exhibit 19	Complaint (41 pages)	628
22	Exhibit 20	Defendants' Answer and Affirmative	
23		Defenses (30 pages)	635
24			

	•		
			599
1		EXHIBITS	
2		(continued)	
3	NO.		PAGE
4	Exhibit 21	Text Message Chain, 12:45,	
5		CONFIDENTIAL, CM 1334 to CM 1335	643
6	Exhibit 22	E-mail Chain, Thursday, August 26,	
7		2021 1:37:00 PM, CONFIDENTIAL,	
8		CM 1548 to CM 1556	652
9	Exhibit 23	E-mail Chain, Thursday, September 9,	
10		2021 7:36 PM, CONFIDENTIAL, CM 0786	688
11	Exhibit 24	E-mail Chain, Friday, September 10,	
12		2021 7:44 PM, CONFIDENTIAL,	
13		CM 0773 to CM 0775	694
14	Exhibit 25	Subpoena to Produce Documents,	
15		Information, or Objects or to Permit	
16		Inspection of Premises in a Civil	
17		Action, 11/08/2021 (four pages)	700
18	Exhibit 26	Memorandum Order, June 13, 2022	
19		(14 pages)	705
20	Exhibit 27	Defendants Gary Michael Voris,	
21		Anita Carey and St. Michael Media's	
22		Answer to First Amended Complaint and	
23		Affirmative Defenses (33 pages)	712
24			

			600
1		EXHIBITS	
2		(continued)	
3	NO.		PAGE
4	Exhibit 28	Originate Loan, Minutes of Directors	
5		Meeting of St. Michael's Media, Inc.,	
6		May 10, 2022, CONFIDENTIAL, CM 1480	715
7	Exhibit 29	Loan Agreement, June 4, 2022,	
8		CONFIDENTIAL, CM 1481 to CM 1484	719
9	Exhibit 30	Entry of Default as to Marc Balestrie	i,
10		October 25, 2022 (one page)	733
11	Exhibit 31	Text Message Chain, November 26, 2022	,
12		CM 1547	734
13	Exhibit 32	Excerpt of Transcript, Brother Andre	
14		Marie Villarrubia, March 07, 2023	
15		(12 pages)	738
16	Exhibit 33	Text Message, Me, 12:26 PM, Jun 15,	
17		CONFIDENTIAL, CM 1546	755
18	Exhibit 34	Text Message Chain, Thu, Jun 15,	
19		CM 1557 to CM 1559	772
20	Exhibit 35	SPLC, Southern Poverty Law Center,	
21		Hatewatch, Ultra-Orthodox Catholic	
22		Propaganda Outlet Pushes Anti-LGBT	
23		Agenda, August 17, 2018 (ten pages)	782
24			

			601
1		EXHIBITS	
2		(continued)	
3	NO.		PAGE
4	Exhibit 36	SPLC Deems Christian Beliefs Hateful,	
5		February 3, 2021 (26 pages)	785
6	Exhibit 37	Return of Organization Exempt From	
7		Income Tax, Form 990, 2021 (29 pages)	786
8			
9	(Origi	nal exhibits marked electronically	
10	and	retained with the transcript.)	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

don't know if it's a video script or an article script, I can't remember.

MS. ELOVECKY: Okay. For the record, there -- there wasn't an article that was similar to this that was produced along with the other articles that were produced, purportedly having been either authored by or contributed to by Balestrieri, and I also searched the Church Militant website and wasn't finding this. It's -- it's not -- not really that relevant. Just for the purpose of the record, I haven't seen that it was published.

BY MS. ELOVECKY:

Q. But I'd like to just turn our attention to the e-mail. So if you look at the bottom of CM1284, which is on your screen now, Christine Niles states, "I will publish this under your pseudonym Tom Jones. Michael, do you want this up today?"

Did I read that correctly?

- A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, you did.
- Q. And when you go up about to -- like one-third down the page, Ms. Niles corrects herself and says, "Sorry, I meant Tom Moore."

Do you see that?

A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

459

- Q. Okay. And so, do you know if Mr. Balestrieri ever did publish anything on the Church Militant website under the name Tom Moore?
- A. I don't remember, but the Tom Moore name was a name he and I came up with very early on just to be able to refer to him, not in a publication fashion, but just to sorta keep his identity secret from, you know, people who would be interested in finding out where we were getting information from.

So there was a time -- I don't remember when we stopped doing it, but there was a time, for a very long time, where he was actually in my phone as Tom Moore.

Q. Okay. Now, if you go -- so I -- we just pointed out that e-mail a third of the page down from Ms. Niles, but I want to go the one below that, which was Monday, April 23rd, 2018, at 11:35 a.m.

Do you see that?

- A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. All right. So I guess there, she's saying, "Isn't that the name you approved of with Mike Sherry?"

Did I read that correctly?

A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, that's

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

460

correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Who is Mike Sherry?
- A. He's our IT guy.
- Q. Okay. And so, are you aware of Mr. Balestrieri ever publishing on the Church Militant website under the name Tom Jones, which was the m -- the misstated one, right?
 - A. I -- yeah, I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. And then when you look at the top of the pa -- the top e-mail on the page, Mr. Balestrieri states, "After reflection, let's use the pseudonym and pen name of a purported priest to try and throw off the scent, like 'Rev. Michael X.'"

Did I read that correctly?

- A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And he did, in fact, publish under that name; isn't that right?
- A. I believe so. I -- if you tell me so, yes, because I'm pretty sure I have a memory of that, but I don't -- I couldn't -- I'm under oath, I don't want to say that I know for certain, but I'm pretty sure that's correct.
- Q. We will scroll through the articles that were produced and you'll see that that was the case, but

```
461
 1
    we'll --
 2
             Okay.
         Α.
 3
             -- look at it on the record. So --
         Q.
             I shall take your word.
 4
         Α.
             Well, you won't have to. I'll show you, so.
 5
         0.
             (Laughter.)
 6
 7
             BY MS. ELOVECKY:
             And then he also states, "That way a lot of
 8
         Q.
 9
    suspicion would be directed to all canonists in the
    U.S. called Michael --
10
             (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Mm-hmm.
11
         Α.
12
             -- plus it's a great patronal name, right"
         Q.
    question mark.
13
14
             Did I read that correctly?
             (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, you did.
15
         Α.
             Okay. So do you have any understand -- well,
16
         0.
17
    when you received this e-mail, did you have any
    understanding of what he meant by to tro -- "try to
18
    throw off the scent"?
19
20
             well, sure, because the information that he
21
    is providing in this script is very sensitive
    information that bishops and chanceries keep very
22
    close to their vest for the obvious reason. And
23
    again, you have to kind of step outside of the e-mail
24
```

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

particular for a moment and look at the context.

The context is that the sacrament of marriage in the church and the Catholic teaching in the church is, if you are validly -- I'm sorry -- if you are married in the church, the presumption is it is a valid marriage and you are married until one of you dies.

The annulment process is engaged in by a couple who come to believe, rightly or wrongly, that they were never validly married. So -- and if they weren't validly married, then they're not married in the church. They might be civilly married, and that's disposed of with a divorce, but in the sacramental realm, they would be -- the marriage would have to be annulled.

So you approach the church, normally, your home diocese, and you say, I'm -- we or I am appealing my case or filing my case to have our marriage declared null and void, as in it never happened to begin with. Despite the fact that you may have, you know, a nice church wedding, a nice reception and honeymoon and you've had kids and the whole bit, something comes up, down the road, a piece of information arises in the course of the couple

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

believing they are married, which raises the question in, at least one of their minds, hey, are we actually validly married?

So, for example, if -- if one party, husband or wife, knew at the time of the wedding, the marriage, that they never intended to have children and they withheld that information from their spouse, that's grounds for an annulment, because in Catholic teaching, for a marriage to be valid, there's a number of conditions, but one of them has to be, is -- that you have to be open to the -- you know, to having children.

Now, obviously, some marriages don't -- whatever, there's health issues, that doesn't make the marriage invalid and null. It just means that the health reasons prevented it. But if you could get pregnant, you would have the baby or babies.

But if you walk into it with the intention of defying the church's teaching and you just keep that to yourself and you don't reveal it to either your husband or your wife and it comes out later on, that marriage is invalid.

So the injured party, who did want children, does want children, would go appeal to the church, to

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

the diocese and say, hey, here's my situation; she lied or he lied, whatever it is. And so, you know, I wanted children and they never did and they lied to me about it, so the church would grant the -- would grant the annulment, meaning the marriage never occurred, sacramentally, to begin with, so both parties are now free to go marry.

Those -- but there are also many abuses in the realm of people filing for annulments and many people in the church, including, for example, Cardinal Burke, who has given many talks on this topic around the country and at the Canon Law Society of America dinners and conferences, are -- many people are on the record as saying that the staffs in individual chanceries, when it comes to annulment cases, are ridiculously understaffed and very poorly educated, and they're handing out annulments like candy, meaning they shouldn't be doing them, but they're just -- they're overwhelmed and overworked and they have no idea what they're doing. They're completely incompetent.

So that's what we're -- that's what the point of this discussion he and I had was, and that's what this first article or script was pointing to. So you

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

might imagine, you know, living proof of the incompetence charge that half the dioceses are giving out an annulment to every single case that comes before them and then, of the remaining half, it's through the roof with them as well. That's quite a black eye on the part of the church.

And the only people who would know this information are the canonists themselves, like, in this case, Marc, and other canonists that we have discussed this with who -- besides Marc, who also agree with that take, that the -- they're -- they're loaded with incom -- I mean, Cardinal Burke has standed up -- stood up and given speeches about this. He's talked in the general, when he talks about that, but Marc and other canonists have given us the names of horrible -- many canonists, as a matter of fact, have given us the names of people working in the annulment area of various dioceses, multiple dioceses, and all of it lines up.

And the only way to prove that it lines up is to have these actual numbers, which the church doesn't want people seeing, because it makes the case that you're incompetent, you're screwing around with the sacraments, which is the life blood of the church,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

466

you're -- you bishops are not doing the job you should be doing.

So, you know, you're not keeping guard over people's souls and the -- and the authenticity of the sacraments and, you know, you're causing families to, you know, break up and, you know -- you know, fatherless kids and one thing after another and all the ills that fall from this sort of thing, well, it becomes pretty clear pretty fast why nobody who's relaying this information to us would want to have their name attached to it.

- Q. And it's your testimony that you received this information from Mr. Balestrieri but also other -- also other canonists --
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. -- is that correct?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Okay. And what is the purpose, if you leave that.

what was your understanding in April of 2018 as to why publishing under a pseudonym was favorable to just publishing anonymously, such as with the Church Militant byline?

A. Because it proves that -- it -- it attaches a

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

467

- -- an office to the material -- as in Reverend, attaches an -- an office to the material, which gives us a greater weight that it's actually authentic.
- Q. And what is the office that the word "Reverend" implies?
 - A. Priest.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But that's not true, is it?
- A. What -- what's not true, Reverend?
- Q. That Marc Balestrieri is a priest?
- A. No, it's also true his name isn't Tom Moore or Michael X.
- Q. So the purpose was to attach an office to the author of this article which was not genuine, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. The -- our -- our concern wasn't the -- our concern was that, is the information accurate and is it authentic, as in it can be authenticated by somebody who knows and that is what we were going to do here, I don't know that we actually did it as you said, if this never actually made it to print. But anyway, yes, that would've been the -- that would've been my thinking, certainly, behind it.
 - Q. And there was no concern that the readership

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

was being misled to thinking that an article was being written by a priest when it was not --

A. No.

- O. -- is that accurate?
- A. No. No. Yeah, that -- that is accurate.

 No, there wouldn't be -- again, it's the information.

 It's the story that matters.

I mean, you know, from a -- from a journalistic standpoint, I mean, think of the Pentagon papers or, you know, Deep Throat with Watergate or any of those things. The point is, even the -- even the fact-checkers at the New York Times had to make crap up when it came to the Russia, Russia, Russia stuff and to convince their public that the information was accurate, they attributed it to inside sources, when the whole thing was a pack of lies.

But nonetheless, in this case, we happen to know it wasn't lies, because not only was Marc, who was a person who had deep knowledge of this stuff, but also other canonists who were able to verify all of this information for us. So it's not a question of being deceptive about the author, it's a question about being accurate about the information from an authoritative source.

		641
09:34a	1	Q. That were going on at in the February,
09:35a	2	March and April time frame so that you could file your
09:35a	3	answer.
09:35a	4	A. Well, I presume he was part of it, but I
09:35a	5	don't know what
09:35a	6	Q. Okay.
09:35a	7	A communications they necessarily had with
09:35a	8	each other.
09:35a	9	Q. So in any of the communications that he was
09:35a	10	part of, was it made clear to Miss Klaus that Marc
09:35a	11	Balestrieri was the author of the January 17th, 2019
09:35a	12	article?
09:35a	13	A. By Mr. Balestrieri?
09:35a	14	Q. By anybody.
09:35a	15	A. I I don't have a specific memory of it.
09:35a	16	But I mean, that's what the reality is. So
09:35a	17	Q. So when
09:35a	18	A at some
09:35a	19	Q. You would have told
09:35a	20	A point, I presume.
09:35a	21	Q her, right?
09:35a	22	A. I'm sorry?
09:35a	23	Q. You all would have told her, correct?
09:35a	24	A. Sure. If you're asking me if I have a

09:35a

09:35a

09:35a

09:35a

09:35a

09:35a

09:35a

09:35a

09:35a

09:36a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

642

specific memory of what and who and when, the answer's no, I don't.

Q. Okay. But by the time you filed the answer, it would've been m -- made clear amongst your group, including Mr. Balestrieri, that he was the author of the January 17th, 2019 article, correct?

MS. KLAUS: Objection to form.

You can answer.

- A. Ye -- I -- I don't know that this was a question that we sat and hashed out, because we all just knew it.
- Q. Okay. So is there any reason that you didn't -- wouldn't have told Miss Klaus?
 - A. I -- no. One way or the other, no.
- Q. So you would've been -- your group would've been completely open with her, before the answer was filed, that Marc Balestrieri was the actual author of the article, right?
- A. I -- I -- I don't have a specific memory of any of this because it wasn't a question. I don't know of my -- in other words, my -- my answer to your question is, I don't know that we all sat around in some large room somewhere and discussed whether Marc was the author of the article. We knew it. He knew

```
654
           to you and to Marc Balestrieri, correct?
09:47a
        1
09:47a
        2
                     (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes.
                     And we -- if we could go below that, just a
09:47a
        3
                 Q.
            few minutes earlier, four minutes earlier, there's an
09:47a
        4
09:47a
        5
            e-mail from Christine Niles to Miss Klaus to you and
09:47a
        6
           Mr. Balestrieri, correct?
09:47a
        7
                     (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes.
                     And it's fair to say that Mr. Balestrieri was
09:47a
        8
                 0.
09:47a
        9
            participating in a conversation about your defense in
09:47a
       10
            this case, right?
                     (Deponent viewing exhibit.)
09:47a
       11
                 Α.
09:47a
       12
                      (Deponent reading under breath.) Marc is
            getting names -- sources for us in Rome -- wants to
09:47a
       13
09:47a
       14
            schedule a meeting --
                           (Reporter interruption.)
09:47a
       15
09:47a
       16
                           THE DEPONENT:
                                           I'm -- I'm sorry.
09:47a
       17
                           MR. COOPER:
                                         Mr. --
                                           I'm -- I'm reading --
09:47a
       18
                           THE DEPONENT:
09:47a
       19
                           MR. COOPER:
                                         It will be easier if you
            just read to yourself so the reporter doesn't have to
09:47a
       20
09:47a
       21
            struggle to hear you.
                                           Okay. I -- I'll read to
09:47a
       22
                           THE DEPONENT:
09:47a
       23
           myself.
                     (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, this is --
09:47a
       24
```

		·
		655
09:48a	1	in answer to your question, yes.
09:48a	2	Q. And Mr. Balestrieri's part of the team,
09:48a	3	correct?
09:48a	4	MS. KLAUS: Objection to form.
09:48a	5	A. When you say "the team," what do you mean,
09:48a	6	"the team"?
09:48a	7	Q. That he's working with you to cooperate in
09:48a	8	defending the lawsuit.
09:48a	9	A. Yes.
09:48a	10	Q. In other words, you asked him for his help
09:48a	11	and he volunteered it to you, correct?
09:48a	12	A. Correct.
09:48a	13	Q. And he did that on an ongoing basis, correct?
09:48a	14	A. Correct.
09:48a	15	Q. And you are asking him or your colleague,
09:48a	16	Miss Niles, asked him to turn over something called
09:48a	17	"the de Laire file," and you don't know whether he did
09:48a	18	that or not; is that fair?
09:48a	19	A. Correct, yes.
09:48a	20	Q. But he was at least telling you that he
09:48a	21	supposedly had a file, right?
09:48a	22	A. I don't know. He's n he never said that
09:48a	23	to me, that I have a memory of.
09:48a	24	Q. Well, in those texts, he was telling

09:49a	1	Q. Okay. When did Mr. Balestrieri give you a
09:49a	2	list of his source the names of his sources in
09:49a	3	Rome?
09:49a	4	A. He has not.
09:49a	5	Q. Did you ever ask him why not?
09:50a	6	A. Yes.
09:50a	7	Q. And what was his response?
09:50a	8	A. They didn't want to be identified.
09:50a	9	Q. Okay. So as of August 2021, he told you that
09:50a	10	he's "gathering names of sources for us in Rome,"
09:50a	11	right?
09:50a	12	A. Yes.
09:50a	13	Q. And when did he report back to you that he
09:50a	14	wasn't gonna give you a list?
09:50a	15	A. Mmm. I don't have a memory of that. I'm
09:50a	16	sorry, I don't
09:50a	17	Q. Now
09:50a	18	A I'm sorry, I answered your question
09:50a	19	incorrectly.
09:50a	20	I don't have a memory of when he communicated
09:50a	21	that. Of when he communicated that.
09:50a	22	Q. Okay. And you hadn't asked him for that list
09:50a	23	before the articles were published, correct?
09:50a	24	A. Correct.

09:51a

09:51a

09:52a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

659

A. This is August of '21? Ye -- oh. Ye -- there were meetings post this date, as far as I -- my memory serves me, but I don't know if they were, quote, the mee -- or a meeting of this. I don't know if that -- if -- if -- the meetings we had subsequent to this, I don't know what the timeline is.

Yes, I -- I -- we met with Marc subsequent to this e-mail, but I don't know if the meetings were because of this and/or -- and there's a direct tie to this e-mail and those meetings. I don't -- I don't know one way or the other.

Q. How many times did you meet with Marc Balestrieri after August 26, 2021?

MS. KLAUS: Objection to form.

- A. I believe it was twice, but --
- Q. And -- and --
- A. -- I'm not certain, but I believe it was twice in person.
 - Q. So let's talk about each of the meetings. Who attended the first meeting?
 - A. Ahh, Miss Niles, Marc, Miss Klaus and me.
 - Q. And where did that meeting take place?
- A. A little pub place -- a local pub place. I don't remember the name of it.

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:53a

09:54a

09:55a

09:55a

09:55a

09:55a

09:55a

09:55a

09:55a

09:55a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

660

- Q. What city was it located in?
- A. Ahh, I -- I'm not exactly certain. Madison Heights. Troy. Somewhere in that neighborhood. Suburban Detroit.
 - Q. And how long did that meeting last?
- A. It was dinner. Ahh, I don't have a clear memory. More than an hour.
- Q. And tell us who said what to whom at that meeting.
- A. Oh, gosh. I -- I don't -- I -- I don't have a clear enough memory of -- of the conversations to be able to attribute specific lines to specific people and specific responses. We were talking about the -- largely, about the case.
 - Q. Tell us what was said that you can recall.
- A. That there were individuals that had complaints about Father de Laire's performance in his role -- his job duty roles. And because the cases were canonical law cases, we would have to ask the people if they -- if the -- the subject matter was delicate, I believe it was marriage and annulment cases, that we would have to ask them if their information could be supplied in the case. I remember that being one of the topics.

		661
09:55a	1	Q. Anything
09:55a	2	A. And
09:55a	3	Q else?
09:55a	4	A that's the only clear memory I have of an
09:56a	5	actual back-and-forth discussion.
09:56a	6	Q. Did Mr. Balestrieri provide you with any
09:56a	7	names?
09:56a	8	A. No, that was the question. That that was
09:56a	9	
09:56a	10	Q. Did he
09:56a	11	A what was at question. He had
09:56a	12	Q. Did he
09:56a	13	A the files, their files, because he was
09:56a	14	I don't know if he was still actively working on the
09:56a	15	case or not, but
09:56a	16	Q. Did
09:56a	17	A he said
09:56a	18	Q. Did he have files with him?
09:56a	19	MS. KLAUS: Can you finish let him
09:56a	20	finish his answer? He wasn't quite done.
09:56a	21	MR. COOPER: I thought that he was done.
09:56a	22	BY MR. COOPER:
09:56a	23	Q. Go ahead, then.
09:56a	24	A. He I'm sorry, I forget where I was

		662
09:56a	1	(laughs).
09:56a	2	MS. KLAUS: Can you read back his answer?
09:56a	3	BY MR. COOPER:
09:56a	4	Q. He
09:56a	5	MR. COOPER: That's okay.
09:56a	6	BY MR. COOPER:
09:56a	7	Q. Did he have files with him?
09:56a	8	MS. KLAUS: Well, no, he needs to finish
09:56a	9	his answer, Howard.
09:56a	10	MR. COOPER: Not we're not we're
09:56a	11	not delaying here.
09:56a	12	MS. KLAUS: Well, then you shouldn't cut
09:56a	13	him off
09:56a	14	BY MR. COOPER:
09:56a	15	Q. Mr
09:56a	16	MS. KLAUS: Howard.
09:56a	17	BY MR. COOPER:
09:56a	18	Q. Mr. Voris
09:56a	19	MS. KLAUS: So we're gonna
09:56a	20	BY MR. COOPER:
09:56a	21	Q did he have
09:56a	22	MS. KLAUS: move to strike that whole
09:56a	23	question and answer
09:56a	24	MR. COOPER: All right.

		663
09:56a	1	MS. KLAUS: since you weren't allowed
09:56a	2	to finish.
09:56a	3	BY MR. COOPER:
09:56a	4	Q. Mr. Voris, did he have any files with him?
09:56a	5	A. Yes, he did.
09:56a	6	Q. Okay. And did he have them on the table?
09:56a	7	A. I I believe he had them in his hand. He
09:57a	8	reached into his bag, this little suitcase thing, and
09:57a	9	was holding them as, like, reference point, but I
09:57a	10	don't recall if he actually physically put them on the
09:57a	11	table. I don't recall.
09:57a	12	Q. Did he give them to you or anyone that was
09:57a	13	with you?
09:57a	14	A. I don't believe so, but
09:57a	15	Q. Have you now exhausted your memory as to what
09:57a	16	was discussed at the first meeting?
09:57a	17	A. Yes, I have.
09:57a	18	Q. I take it it was clear in that meeting that
09:57a	19	Marc Balestrieri was the author of the January 17,
09:57a	20	2019 article, correct?
09:57a	21	A. Yes.
09:57a	22	Q. And Miss Klaus attended that meeting,
09:57a	23	correct?
09:57a	24	A. Yes.

		Gary Michael Volls, et al.
		664
09:57a	1	Q. Tell us about the second meeting. When did
09:57a	2	that happen?
09:57a	3	A. Ahh, I don't recall when it was. It was at
09:58a	4	my house.
09:58a	5	Q. Who attended?
09:58a	6	A. The same four.
09:58a	7	Q. Miss Klaus was there as well?
09:58a	8	A. Correct.
09:58a	9	Q. How long did that meeting last?
09:58a	10	A. I don't have a specific memory. An hour,
09:58a	11	maybe.
09:58a	12	Q. Tell us who said what to whom.
09:58a	13	A. Well, the one thing that I remember
09:58a	14	specifically was what I said to Marc when he said his
09:58a	15	sources in Rome were reluctant to go on the record,
09:59a	16	and I responded to him, well, then they need to go on
09:59a	17	the record.
09:59a	18	And I said something to the effect of, you're
09:59a	19	telling me these guys in Rome wouldn't sign an
09:59a	20	affidavit? I don't think somebody's going to subpoena
09:59a	21	a member of the Curia to fly over to New Hampshire to
09:59a	22	testify that they had said or made these observations
09:59a	23	or formed these professional opinions about
09:59a	24	Father de Laire, so you need to go back to them.

		1148450 00, 2020
		665
09:59a	1	Q. Have you finished your answer?
09:59a	2	A. Yes.
09:59a	3	Q. What else was discussed during the meeting?
09:59a	4	A. That if Marc I don't know if this was that
09:59a	5	meeting or not. I I can't recall specifically.
09:59a	6	Q. Can you call recall generally anything
09:59a	7	else that was discussed?
09:59a	8	A. The overall theme was, I need your sources,
10:00a	9	Marc.
10:00a	10	Q. Are you able to recall anything else that was
10:00a	11	discussed?
10:00a	12	A. Not with any specificity. That was the
10:00a	13	that was the reason for the meeting, as I recall.
10:00a	14	Q. What about generally? Do you recall anything
10:00a	15	else?
10:00a	16	A. Marc was bothered, I guess, that he might be
10:00a	17	identified as the author of the article.
10:00a	18	Q. Why did you understand he was bothered?
10:00a	19	A. It he he said, I something to
10:00a	20	the effect of, you know, it can't be revealed. This
10:01a	21	was the po this was his and my back-and-forth, it's
10:01a	22	when I said you need to you know, you need to get
10:01a	23	your sources to go on the record. And he said, well,
10:01a	24	I'm not sure that they want to, or I I've asked

10:01a

10:02a

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

666

them and they -- they were hesitant or something like that. And -- and I pushed him and said, well, you know, Marc, these are your sources and if they won't step up, well, then you have to.

- Q. And how did he respond to that?
- A. He was worried about his career, said, I can't be seen as being -- you know, writing and -- or maybe not writing, strike that. I don't know exactly how he responded and I don't want to put words in his mouth. But he didn't want to be identified as the author of the article.

And I said, well, that -- you know, the agreement here, this -- just the way this goes is, if you provide the sources, then you're not, so go back to Rome or hop on the phone with them or do whatever it is and get them to say, you -- you know, sign their affidavit or whatever it is they need to do to say that they were your sources on this and this is what they said.

- Q. Were you forceful --
- A. And he said --
- Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.
- A. And he said something to the fact of, well, I'll try it again. And I said, well, yeah, you should

		667
10:02a	1	try it again.
10:02a	2	Q. Were you forceful with Mr. Balestrieri in
10:02a	3	demanding that he provide his sources?
10:02a	4	A. Yes.
10:02a	5	Q. Did the conversation get heated?
10:02a	6	(Pause.)
10:02a	7	A. It was forceful. I don't know if
10:02a	8	Q. Well
10:02a	9	A heated. I mean, "heated," in my mind, is,
10:02a	10	you're calling each other names and screaming and
10:02a	11	stuff.
10:02a	12	Q. Like when you're calling someone a liar,
10:03a	13	right, that would be heated?
10:03a	14	A. Well, I I don't know if it'd be heated if
10:03a	15	you just make a mention of, say, you know, you're a
10:03a	16	liar, as opposed to you're sort of screaming and
10:03a	17	bearing your teeth. I mean, this is all sort of
10:03a	18	subjective.
10:03a	19	Q. Did anyone raise their voice?
10:03a	20	A. I raised my voice.
10:03a	21	Q. Did Mr. Balestrieri raise his voice?
10:03a	22	A. I I don't recall.
10:03a	23	Q. And Miss Klaus was in attendance for all of
10:03a	24	this?

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

668

- A. Yes, she was.
- Q. Umm --

10:03a

10:04a

10:04a

10:04a

10:04a

10:04a

10:04a

10:04a

10:04a

10:04a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I'm sorry.
- Q. What --
- A. I'm s -- I'm sorry. Wait.

There was a period where both she -- not together, but both she and Christine had -- were not in the room. They'd gone to the bathroom. They'd, you know, maybe taken a phone call or a text or something. So, no, I can't say for certain for certain that either Christine or Miss Klaus were in the room during that exchange. I don't have a clear memory one way or the other.

I do remember that people, including me, had to get up and leave the -- my -- it was in my front room and I had to go to the back room and, you know, answer a phone call and, you know, got a text and that sort of thing, and that was happening with them also as well as going to the bathroom.

So I don't recall who was actually there at what time during what exchange. But the overall meeting was the four of us, but n -- I don't think any of the four of us were in 100 percent attendance for all of it.

		·
		669
10:04a	1	Q. Have you now told us everything you can
10:04a	2	recall about the second meeting?
10:04a	3	A. Yes.
10:04a	4	Q. Now, at either of the two meetings, did you
10:04a	5	observe anyone taking notes?
10:04a	6	A. I I don't have a memory of that one way or
10:04a	7	the other.
10:04a	8	Q. Did you observe Miss Klaus taking notes?
10:04a	9	A. Same answer.
10:04a	10	Q. You referred to an agreement that you had
10:04a	11	with Mr. Balestrieri.
10:04a	12	What agreement were you referring to?
10:04a	13	A. That he had his sources, and if they needed
10:05a	14	to step up, they would.
10:05a	15	Q. When did you reach that agreement with him?
10:05a	16	A. Ahh, don't I don't have a clear memory of
10:05a	17	that.
10:05a	18	Q. What year was it that you reached an
10:05a	19	agreement with him that his sources would step up, if
10:05a	20	necessary?
10:05a	21	A. I don't recall.
10:05a	22	Q. You you can't even put it in a year?
10:05a	23	A. No, I can't.
10:05a	24	Q. Was it before or after the lawsuit was filed?

		715
11:04a	1	differently.
11:04a	2	The board of directors of St. Michael's Media
11:04a	3	approved a loan of Mr. Balestrieri of \$65,000,
11:04a	4	correct?
11:04a	5	A. Correct. As that stands, yes.
11:04a	6	Q. And that happened on May 10th, 2022, correct?
11:05a	7	A. I believe that's the correct date, yes.
11:05a	8	MR. COOPER: And why don't we mark that
11:05a	9	as the next exhibit in order, please.
11:05a	10	(Exhibit 28 marked for identification.)
11:05a	11	MS. KLAUS: Can't get through that.
11:05a	12	A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes
11:05a	13	MS. KLAUS: [Indiscernible].
11:05a	14	A that's correct.
11:05a	15	Q. It is Bates-stamped CM 1480.
11:05a	16	MR. COOPER: If we could just shrink it a
11:05a	17	little bit.
11:05a	18	MS. ELOVECKY: (Complied.)
11:05a	19	BY MR. COOPER:
11:05a	20	Q. You see that?
11:05a	21	A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes. That's
11:05a	22	correct.
11:05a	23	Q. And you signed that's your signature on
11:05a	24	this page, correct?

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

716

- A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) That's correct.
- Q. And this was in the middle about arguing about the disclosure of Mr. Balestrieri as the author of the article and whether he could be added as a defendant in the case, correct?

MS. KLAUS: Objection to foundation.

- A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) It -- sure, there was a coincidence of time. There was a --
 - O. I --

11:05a

11:06a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. -- there was a primary going on as well. It had as much to do with this as anything. They're not related.
- Q. You -- you can make -- let me just ask you to focus on -- my question was just about the timing.

You knew in May of 2022 that Father de Laire was seeking to add Mr. Balestrieri as a defendant in this case.

We've already discussed that, right?

- A. I knew it in the sense that I was aware. It had no front-of-my-mind connection to this.
 - Q. Okay. Because it's all just a coincidence. That's your testimony, right?
 - A. That is absolutely correct, and I resent --
 - Q. Okay.

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

748

- Q. Didn't you tell us earlier this morning that you got a call at home from Kate Klaus on June 15th of 2023?
 - A. Yes.

11:38a

11:38a

11:38a

11:38a

11:38a

11:39a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And -- so which is it, were you on a plane or did you get a call from Miss Klaus at home?
- A. As I testified before under oath, she called me while I was packing for the trip --
 - O. I see.
- A. -- to tell me nothing more than Marc had shown up. I -- I -- if I recall -- I think, if I recall correctly, he had sent a note to the Court -- or an e-mail, sorry, to the Court, I think, the night before, saying he wanted to show up or was gonna show up and he was gonna be late.

And I -- I -- I don't know, I was just getting the stuff as I was packing. And -- and -- and then, later on, I went, obviously, almost immediately went to the airport and, you know, got on the plane or was waiting in the airport and everything and -- and that's the order of events.

When I came to have detail told to me that there was a scheduled deposition, it was going to be on, I don't know, whatever the date was, July 10th,

		749
11:40a	1	12th, whatever the date the agreed-upon date was
11:40a	2	and that it was going in Boston and all of that, when
11:40a	3	I came to know that specificity, I'm not entirely
11:40a	4	clear. I presume
11:40a	5	Q. Well
11:40a	6	A it would've been sometime over the next
11:40a	7	few days.
11:40a	8	Q. On June 15th of 2023, you wrote a text
11:40a	9	directed at Marc Balestrieri, correct?
11:40a	10	A. That's correct.
11:40a	11	Q. And you sent it to him, but you also asked an
11:40a	12	intermediary to forward it to him as well, correct?
11:40a	13	A. I did not s
11:40a	14	MS. KLAUS: Objection.
11:40a	15	A. No, I did not send to him.
11:40a	16	Q. Yeah. Okay. So you asked an intermediary to
11:40a	17	send it to him?
11:40a	18	A. Correct.
11:40a	19	Q. Okay.
11:40a	20	A. I didn't have any of Marc's contact
11:40a	21	information, phone numbers, texts or nothing. I
11:40a	22	didn't have
11:40a	23	Q. And that was the date
11:40a	24	A any of that.
i		

11 10		750
11:40a	1	(Whereupon, parties speaking at the same
11:40a	2	time.)
11:40a	3	(Reporter interruption.)
11:40a	4	THE DEPONENT: I didn't have any of that.
11:40a	5	MADAM COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
11:40a	6	BY MR. COOPER:
11:40a	7	Q. That was the date well, didn't we just see
11:40a	8	a text between you and him about the \$70,000 that he
11:41a	9	owes?
11:41a	10	A. That was from November.
11:41a	11	Q. So you didn't
11:41a	12	A. That was four or five months prior.
11:41a	13	Q. So you didn't think it was appropriate to
11:41a	14	send your message to that number?
11:41a	15	A. That's correct. Yes, I did not, because I
11:41a	16	knew that he hadn't responded to that. And as
11:41a	17	background on this, since you're opening
11:41a	18	Q. No. I'm not interested in background.
11:41a	19	A. Well, you're
11:41a	20	Q. Answer
11:41a	21	A. No, you no, you've
11:41a	22	Q the question.
11:41a	23	A you've you've said something that needs
11:41a	24	a

		751
11:41a	1	Q. No, it
11:41a	2	A response.
11:41a	3	Q. Sir. Sir. S moving on to the next
11:41a	4	question.
11:41a	5	A. No. So I don't
11:41a	6	Q. You wrote to Mr
11:41a	7	A. Can I fini
11:41a	8	Q Moore
11:41a	9	A. Can I finish my answer, please?
11:41a	10	Q. No, you really can't because you're not
11:41a	11	answering my question.
11:41a	12	A. I am answering your
11:41a	13	MS. KLAUS: Let him finish
11:41a	14	A question.
11:41a	15	MS. KLAUS: Howard. Just let him get
11:41a	16	his
11:41a	17	BY MR. COOPER:
11:41a	18	Q. Mr
11:41a	19	MS. KLAUS: answer out.
11:41a	20	BY MR. COOPER:
11:41a	21	Q. Mr. Voris, on June 15th
11:41a	22	THE DEPONENT: Did we get
11:41a	23	BY MR. COOPER:
11:41a	24	Q at 12:26 p.m., did you write the

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

752 following: "Marc," meaning Marc Balestrieri, "you are 11:41a 1 committing perjury." 11:41a 2 Did you write that? 3 11:41a 11:41a 4 Yes, I did. Α. And you wrote that with the intent that that 11:41a 5 0. 11:42a 6 message be delivered to him, correct? 11:42a 7 That's correct. Α. And you asked someone to deliver that to him, 11:42a 8 Q. 11:42a 9 correct? That's correct. 11:42a 10 Α. And what's that person's name? 11:42a 11 Q. 12 I don't remember if I asked Christine Niles 11:42a Α. 11:42a to get it to him. I can't remember if I asked her 13 11:42a 14 directly or asked her to get it to Father PJ because he had numbers that Marc answered. And I don't know 11:42a 15 if another -- if the other numbers Marc just wasn't 11:42a 16 11:42a 17 answering anymore. I had been in contact -- well (laughs), I was 11:42a 18 19 in contact with Marc during the summer of 2022 and --11:42a I'm sorry -- 2023, after we'd made the loan to him and 11:42a 20 11:42a said -- sorry -- 2022, I mixed the summers up -- and 21 said, you know, you're not responding to -- you're not 11:43a 22 responding to, when are you going to be paying the 11:43a 23

money back, you know, you signed the note?

24

11:43a

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

753

hearing anything from you.

11:43a

11:44a

11:44a

11:44a

11:44a

11:44a

11:44a

11:44a

11:44a

11:44a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

This stuff went on and on and on and on and he never responded to anything at all. So that's why I went the intermediary route of saying that, well, I do know that Father PJ has his — is in communication with him because he and Father PJ have a separate case, canon law case of Father PJ's, again, that has nothing to do with this case, and so I knew that he had — that they were going back and forth on his case.

But he had never responded to -- as far as I know -- he had never responded for months to anything to Kate. He had never responded to -- about the case. He'd never responded to me for months and months about anything about paying back the loan. So I had no idea whether those numbers were still functioning. I just presumed they either were and he just ignored them or, for whatever reason, they weren't because he used to switch out phone numbers like most guys change out baseball hats.

So I asked that the text be funneled -- my text, that text you read part of there, be funneled to him in the -- in a way I knew he would actually receive it. And that was --

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

756

- A. I believe Los Angeles.
- Q. And what airline were you flying?
- A. Delta.

11:46a

11:47a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Now, the text goes on to say, "You know you write that article. What you don't know is this morning we found proof - your digital fingerprints - all totally documented - on that article."

Have I read that correctly?

- A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, you have.
- Q. So, by virtue of what you say there, is it fair to say that you had learned earlier in the day that his deposition was gonna be taken, that he -- in your view, he would be committing perjury, and you had asked someone to go find proof that he had written the article?
- A. Correct. I don't know that he was going to -- I don't recall specifically if I knew he was going to be deposed, because I was unclear about what he was doing in New Hampshire on that day. I -- I don't -- I don't recall what the New Hampshire hearing event was about, but that Marc had showed up and said he wanted to -- I -- I don't know, said something like, both sides have stuff wrong, or however he phrased it, and he wanted to go on the record and testify and the drug

11:47a

11:47a

11:47a

11:47a

11:48a

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

757

-- the judge was befuddled and -- I knew all of that.

So I don't know if this was -- he was -- I don't know at the moment I wrote this whether I understood that he was going to say something that day inside the courtroom at whatever that hearing was or at least thought he was going to, or if this is in reference to the fact that I had already known, although I don't know, that there was a scheduled deposition.

I don't remember what it was, but it was -that was not top of my mind. Top of my mind was that
he was going to say that he hadn't -- or I had -- top
of mind was my fear that he was going to say that he
hadn't written the article. And it was --

- O. And --
- A. -- it was at this point that it came back to me that Brother Andre had said that Marc denied writing the article.
- Q. So one of the things that was flashing through your mind that was he was physically in the courthouse, going to the courtroom, and you were concerned that he might testify that he hadn't written the article?
 - A. That's correct.

11:50a

11:51a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

759

always being worried that his providing information -sometimes extraordinarily sensitive information -- on all kinds of cases that that -- that he was always very squirrelly about any of that being found out.

And when he wrote articles for us, provided information to other people as well, but certainly to us on ongoing cases, you know, before whatever individual, tribunal, or whatever congregation in Rome, that all of his association as a very highly-placed, well-respected Vatican source would come out. He was always -- for obvious reasons, because of retaliation and, you know, not being able to be employed somewhere down the road and, you know, not viewed as trustworthy by, you know, individuals in the church who would care about that sort of thing.

So anyway, that's the gist of that, yes.

- Q. So you threatened him?
- A. With --

MS. KLAUS: Objection to form.

- A. With telling the truth.
- Q. So you threatened him?
- A. With telling the truth. Stop characterizing it as though I was trying to get him to do something wrong. I was trying to get --

		•
		763
12:06p	1	record. The time is 12:06 p.m.
12:06p	2	BY MR. COOPER:
12:06p	3	Q. Mr. Voris, we still have Exhibit 33 up in
12:06p	4	front of you.
12:06p	5	Do you have it?
12:06p	6	A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, I do.
12:06p	7	Q. Now, I want to ask you a couple of things
12:06p	8	about something you just said. You say in this text
12:06p	9	that it was that morning, June 15th, 2023, that you
12:06p	10	found proof, digital fingerprints, that
12:06p	11	Mr. Balestrieri was the author of the article.
12:06p	12	Do you see that?
12:06p	13	A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, I do.
12:06p	14	Q. And you told us that you had directed people
12:06p	15	at Church Milint Militant to find that proof that
12:06p	16	day, correct?
12:06p	17	A. Correct.
12:06p	18	Q. And was that the first time you ever directed
12:07p	19	anybody to do that?
12:07p	20	MS. KLAUS: Objection to form.
12:07p	21	A. To do what?
12:07p	22	Q. To go find proof that Mr. Balestrieri was the
12:07p	23	author of the article.
12:07p	24	A. Correct, because it had not come up before
1		

		764
12:07p	1	that.
12:07p	2	Q. In your mind, correct?
12:07p	3	A. Well, correct, yes, my mind.
12:07p	4	Q. But you weren't you weren't following the
12:07p	5	day-to-day in the lawsuit, you told us, right?
12:07p	6	A. That's correct.
12:07p	7	Q. So you don't know whether it had come up in
12:07p	8	the lawsuit previously, right?
12:07p	9	MS. KLAUS: Objection to form.
12:07p	10	A. I I knew, as I said earlier, that
12:07p	11	Brother Andre had said in his deposition that Marc
12:07p	12	had denied writing the article. I knew that, as I
12:07p	13	said, and really paid no attention to it because I
12:07p	14	just I don't know why I didn't pay any attention to
12:07p	15	it, beyond just knowing that I knew it wasn't true, so
12:07p	16	I just moved on with my life.
12:07p	17	Q. And
12:07p	18	A. But when
12:07p	19	Q. My question's a little bit different,
12:08p	20	respectfully, Mr. Voris.
12:08p	21	My question is, you would agree with me that
12:08p	22	you never directed anybody at St. Michael's Media's to
12:08p	23	go looking for proof that Mr. Balestrieri wrote the
12:08p	24	article until June 15th of 2023, right?

		765
12:08p	1	A. I'm sorry, I thought I understood your
12:08p	2	earlier question to say "in my mind," which is why I
12:08p	3	was explaining
12:08p	4	Q. No.
12:08p	5	A my mind.
12:08p	6	Q. No. I'm simply asking you whether you ever
12:08p	7	gave the direction that you gave on June 15th, 2023,
12:08p	8	before that.
12:08p	9	A. To go find proof that Marc had actually
12:08p	10	authored the article? That is
12:08p	11	Q. Yes, sir.
12:08p	12	A. That is correct. Yes, I had never given that
12:08p	13	instruction because it had never been a at
12:08p	14	question.
12:08p	15	Q. And as far as you knew, no one else at
12:08p	16	St. Michael's Media had ever undertaken that effort
12:08p	17	prior to June 15th of 2023, correct?
12:08p	18	A. Yes, correct. I don't know why they would
12:09p	19	have done that. Again, that was never
12:09p	20	Q. And
12:09p	21	A at question.
12:09p	22	Q. And as far as you know, your lawyers never
12:09p	23	undertook that effort
12:09p	24	A. Once again

		766
12:09p	1	Q at any time?
12:09p	2	A never at question.
12:09p	3	Q. Okay. But you agree with me that they never
12:09p	4	undertook that effort?
12:09p	5	A. That that's correct, never at question.
12:09p	6	Q. Now, you say in here, I had just asked you
12:09p	7	about, "You go thru with this and we will rain down on
12:09p	8	you publicly."
12:09p	9	Do you see that?
12:09p	10	A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) Yes, I do.
12:09p	11	Q. And then you say, "You are a liar, and a
12:09p	12	welch."
12:09p	13	Let's take tho you you do admit
12:09p	14	writing that, correct?
12:09p	15	A. That's correct.
12:09p	16	Q. And sending that to Mr. Balestrieri on
12:09p	17	June 15th of this year, correct
12:09p	18	A. Well
12:09p	19	Q through an intermediary?
12:09p	20	A. Through two intermediaries.
12:09p	21	Q. Okay. Through two intermediaries.
12:09p	22	A. And
12:09p	23	Q. Well, you know he got it, right?
12:09p	24	A. (Deponent viewing exhibit.) And and f
1		

12:31p

12:31p

12:31p

12:32p

12:33p

12:33p

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

788

And then at the end of the quarter, we send them over that information and they collect it as we move along through in -- through the year. And then -- and I think they do -- I think they do payroll for us also. I can't recall right now. Or at -- or at one time they did. I don't recall.

- Q. When does St. Michael's Media intend to file its Form 990 for 2022?
- A. I think our extension is through October. We don't actually ask for the extension. The accountants just tell us they're gonna -- that -- that's got -- that's been the case, I think -- I think every year.

I don't know when they're due. I think corporate returns, or at least 990s, I think, are due at the end of July of each year. But from what I understand from the accountants, it's just standard operating procedure that virtually every corporation — at least nonprofits — just kind of automatically file for an extension until I think it's the end of October. So I would presume that's the case here as well for —

- Q. When you --
- A. -- 2022.
- Q. When you wrote your e-mail [sic] directed at

12:33p

12:34p

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gary Michael Voris August 08, 2023

789

Mr. Balestrieri on June 15th of this year, that we looked at exhibit -- as Exhibit 33, what was your emotion that you were feeling?

MS. KLAUS: Objection to form.

A. I was troubled that he was going to perjur himself. First of all, that's a sin to take an oath before God and then lie. It's a mortal sin, which is in the category of pretty darn bad in Catholicism.

And that Marc, who has probably racked up the reputation of being one of the ten best canonists on the planet, would consider — at least in my mind, he was going to consider getting up and perjuring himself, and thus, committing a mortal sin was, Marc, don't be stupid. Don't do this. You're perjuring yourself. You're lying. You know, what's wrong with you? That's what was in my mind when I was sending the text.

It was a text, by the way. You represented it as an e-mail.

Q. Were you angry?

A. Ahh, I -- I -- I don't know. Like -- as I said, troubled, bothered. You know, why would you do this? This -- the "this" being perjur yourself and not tell the truth about writing the article.

Gary Michael Voris February 08, 2023

592

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS MIDDLESEX, SS.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

I, Laurie J. Berg, Certified Court Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, Certified eDepoze Reporter and Notary Public, in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that pursuant to appropriate notice of taking deposition, there remotely appeared before me the following named person, to wit: GARY MICHAEL VORIS, who was by me duly sworn; that he was thereupon examined upon his oath and his examination reduced to writing by me; and that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.

16 | 17 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 20th day of February, 2023.

18 19

My commission expires:

20

September 14, 2023

21

2223

_ _ _

24

Raww & Bug

Notary Public